

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s):	U. HANNSMANN et al.	Examiner	Ann J. Chempakaseril
Serial No.	10/539,644	Group Art Unit	2166
Filed	June 15, 2005	Docket No.	DE920020028US1
TITLE	A METHOD FOR PROVIDING OF CONTENT DATA TO A CLIENT		

---

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted through the USPTO EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ann J. Chempakaseril of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on November 24, 2008.

/David Victor/  
David W. Victor

**AMENDMENT**

This Amendment is submitted in response to a non-final third office action in the above case dated July 23, 2008 (“OA3”), in which the Examiner rejected certain claims as failing to comply with the written description requirement (35 U.S.C. §112, par. 1) and indefinite (35 U.S.C. §112, par. 2), and rejected all pending claims 1, 2, 16, 17, 39, and 40 as anticipated (35 U.S.C. §102) over cited art. Applicants held a phone interview with the Examiner on November 19, 2008 discussing amendments and arguments, which the Examiner indicated would advance prosecution. Applicants submit the discussed amendments and arguments herein. Applicants further add claims 45-46. Applicants traverse the rejections and submit that all pending claims 1, 2, 16, 17, 39, 40, and 44-46 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 4.